arXiv:0802.3471v1 [math.RT] 25 Feb 2008

Moufang symmetry I.
Generalized Lie and Maurer-Cartan equations

Eugen Paal

Abstract

The differential equations for a local analytic Moufang loop are established. The commutation relations for the infinitesimal translations of the analytic Moufang are found. These commutation relations can be seen as a (minimal) generalization of the Maurer-Cartan equations.

2000 MSC: 20N05, 17D10

1 Moufang loops

A *Moufang loop* [6] (see also [3, 2, 7]) is a set G with a binary operation (multiplication) $\cdot : G \times G \to G$, denoted also by juxtaposition, so that the following three axioms are satisfied:

1) in the equation $gh = k$, the knowledge of any two of $g, h, k \in G$ specifies the third one *uniquely*,
2) there is a distinguished element $e \in G$ with the property $eg = ge = g$ for all $g \in G$,
3) the *Moufang identities*

$$g(h \cdot gk) = (gh \cdot g)k \tag{1.1a}$$

$$(kg \cdot h)g = k(g \cdot hg) \tag{1.1b}$$

$$(gh)(kg) = g(hk \cdot g) \tag{1.1c}$$

hold in G.

It must be noted that the Moufang identities (1.1a–c) are equivalent (see e.g [3, 2]), which means that each of the above identities can be considered as a defining identity of the Moufang loop.

Recall that a set with a binary operation is called a *groupoid*. A groupoid G with axiom 1) is called a *quasigroup*. If axioms 1) and 2) are satisfied, the gruppoid (quasigroup) G is called a *loop*. The element e in axiom 2) is called the *unit* (element) of the (Moufang) loop G.

In a (Moufang) loop, the multiplication need not be neither associative nor commutative. Associative (Moufang) loops are well known and called *groups*. The *associativity* and *commutativity* laws read, respectively,

$$g(hk) = (gh)k, \quad gh = hg, \qquad \forall g, h, k \in G$$

The associative commutative (Moufang) loops are called the *Abelian* groups. The most familiar kind of loops are those with the *associative* law, and these are called *groups*. A (Moufang) loop G is called *commutative* if the commutativity law holds in G, and (only) the commutative associative (Moufang) loops are said to be *Abelian*.

The most remarkable property of the Moufang loops is their *diassociativity*: in a Moufang loop G every two elements generate an associative subloop (group) [6]. In particular, from this it follows that

$$g \cdot gh = g^2 h, \quad hg \cdot g = hg^2, \quad gh \cdot g = g \cdot hg, \qquad \forall g, h \in G \tag{1.2}$$

The first and second identities in (1.2) are called the left and right *alternativity*, respectively, and the third one is said to be *flexibility*. Note that these identities follow from the Moufang identities as well. Due to flexibility, the Moufang identity (1.1c) can be rewritten in the nice mnemonic form as follows:

$$(gh)(kg) = g(hk)g, \qquad \forall g, h, k \in G$$

The unique solution of the equation $xg = e$ ($gx = e$) is called the left (right) *inverse* element of $g \in G$ and is denoted as g_R^{-1} (g_L^{-1}). It follows from the diassociativity of the Moufang loop that

$$g_R^{-1} = g_L^{-1} \doteq g^{-1}, \qquad \forall g \in G$$

2 Analytic Moufang loops and Mal'tsev algebras

A Moufang loop G is said to be *analytic* [5] if G is a finite dimensional real, analytic manifold so that both the Moufang loop operation $G \times G \to G$: $(g, h) \mapsto gh$ and the inversion map $G \to G$: $g \mapsto g^{-1}$ are analytic ones. Dimension of G will be denoted as $\dim G \doteq r$. The local coordinates of $g \in G$ are denoted (in a fixed chart of the unit element $e \in G$) by $g^1, \dots, g^r$, and the local coordinates of the unit e are supposed to be zero: $e^i = 0$, $i = 1, \dots, r$. One has the evident initial conditions

$$(ge)^i = (eg)^i = g^i, \quad i = 1, \dots, r$$

As in the case of the Lie groups [8], we can use the Taylor expansions

$$\begin{aligned}(gh)^i &= h^i + u^i_j(h) g^j + \frac{1}{2!}\tilde{u}_{jk}(h) g^j g^k + \cdots \\ &= g^i + v^i_j(g) h^j + \frac{1}{2!}\tilde{v}_{jk}(g) h^j h^k + \cdots \\ &= g^i + h^i + a^i_{jk} g^j h^k + b^i_{jkl} g^j g^k h^l + d^i_{jkl} g^j h^k h^l + \cdots\end{aligned}$$

to introduce the *auxiliary functions* u^i_j and v^i_j and the *structure constants*

$$c^i_{jk} \doteq a^i_{jk} - a^i_{kj} = -c^i_{kj}$$

Due to such a parametrization, one has the initial conditions

$$u^i_j(e) = v^i_j(e) = \delta^i_j, \quad \tilde{u}^i_{jk}(e) = \tilde{v}^i_{jk}(e) = 0$$

and the symmetry property

$$\tilde{u}^i_{jk} = \tilde{u}^i_{kj}, \quad \tilde{v}^i_{jk} = \tilde{v}^i_{kj} \tag{2.1}$$

Also, it follows from axiom 1) of the Moufang loop that

$$\det(u^i_j) \neq 0, \quad \det(v^i_j) \neq 0$$

The *tangent algebra* of G can be defined similarly to the tangent (Lie) algebra of the Lie group [8]. Geometrically, this algebra is the tangent space $T_e(G)$ of G at e. The product of $x, y \in T_e(G)$ will be denoted by $[x, y] \in T_e(G)$. In coordinate form,

$$[x, y]^i \doteq c^i_{jk} x^j y^k = -[y, x]^i, \qquad i = 1, \ldots, r$$

The tangent algebra will be denoted by $\Gamma \doteq \{T_e(G), [\cdot, \cdot]\}$. The latter algebra need not be a Lie algebra. In other words, there may be a triple $x, y, z \in T_e(G)$, such that the Jacobi identity fails:

$$J(x, y, z) \doteq [x, [y, z]] + [y, [z, x]] + [z, [x, y]] \neq 0$$

Instead, for all $x, y, z \in T_e(G)$, we have [5] a more general identity

$$[[x, y], [z, x]] + [[[x, y], z], x] + [[[y, z], x], x] + [[[z, x], x], y] = 0$$

called the *Mal'tsev identity*. The tangent algebra is hence said to be the *Mal'tsev algebra*. The Mal'tsev identity concisely reads [9]

$$[J(x, y, x), x] = J(x, y, [x, z])$$

from which it can be easily seen that every Lie algebra is a Mal'tsev algebra as well. It has been shown in [4] that every finite-dimensional real Mal'tsev algebra is the tangent algebra of some analytic Moufang loop.

3 Associators

In a (Moufang) loop, due to non-associativity, the elements $g \cdot hk$ and $gh \cdot k$ need not coincide. The nonassociativity of G can be measured by the formal functions

$$a^i(g, h, k) \doteq (g \cdot hk)^i - (gh \cdot k)^i, \qquad i = 1, \ldots, r$$

which are called the *associators* of G. One has the evident initial conditions

$$a^i(e, h, k) = a^i(g, e, k) = a^i(g, h, e) = 0, \qquad i = 1, \ldots, r$$

The associators a^i are considered as the generating expressions in the following sense. At first, define the *first-order* associators l^i_j, r^i_j, m^i_j by

$$\begin{aligned} a^i(g, h, k) &\doteq l^i_j(h, k) g^j + O(g^2) \\ &\doteq m^i_j(g, k) h^j + O(h^2) \\ &\doteq r^i_j(g, h) k^j + O(k^2) \end{aligned}$$

These functions can be easily calculated and the result reads

$$l^i_j(g, h) = -u^s_j(g) \frac{\partial (gh)^i}{\partial g^s} + u^i_j(gh) \tag{3.1a}$$

$$r^i_j(g, h) = -v^i_j(gh) + v^s_j(h) \frac{\partial (gh)^i}{\partial h^s} \tag{3.1b}$$

$$m^i_j(g, h) = -v^s_j(g) \frac{\partial (gh)^i}{\partial g^s} + u^s_j(h) \frac{\partial (gh)^i}{\partial h^s} \tag{3.1c}$$

Next one can check the initial conditions

$$\begin{aligned} l^i_j(e,g) &= r^i_j(e,g) = m^i_j(e,g) = 0 \\ l^i_j(g,e) &= r^i_j(g,e) = m^i_j(g,e) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

and define the *second-order* associators l^i_{jk}, $\hat{l}^i_{jk}$, m^i_{jk}, $\hat{m}^i_{jk}$, r^i_{jk}, $\hat{r}^i_{jk}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} l^i_j(g,h) &\doteq l^i_{jk}(h)g^k + O(g^2) \\ &\doteq \hat{l}^i_{jk}(g)h^k + O(h^2) \\ r^i_j(g,h) &\doteq r^i_{jk}(h)g^k + O(g^2) \\ &\doteq \hat{r}^i_{jk}(g)h^k + O(h^2) \\ m^i_j(g,h) &\doteq \hat{m}^i_{jk}(h)g^k + O(g^2) \\ &\doteq m^i_{jk}(g)h^k + O(h^2) \end{aligned}$$

By calculating the latter, the result reads

$$l^i_{jk}(g) = \hat{m}^i_{kj}(g) \tag{3.2a}$$

$$= -u^i_{kj}(g) - a^s_{jk}u^i_s(g) + u^s_k(g)\frac{\partial u^i_j(g)}{\partial g^s}, \tag{3.2b}$$

$$m^i_{jk}(g) = \hat{r}^i_{kj}(g) \tag{3.2c}$$

$$= \quad v^i_{jk}(g) + a^s_{jk}v^i_s(g) - v^s_j(g)\frac{\partial v^i_k(g)}{\partial g^s}, \tag{3.2d}$$

$$r^i_{jk}(g) = \hat{l}^i_{kj}(g) \tag{3.2e}$$

$$= v^s_j(g)\frac{\partial u^i_k(g)}{\partial g^s} - u^s_k(g)\frac{\partial v^i_j(g)}{\partial g^s} \tag{3.2f}$$

Finally one has the initial conditions

$$\begin{aligned} l^i_{jk}(e) &= r^i_{jk}(e) = m^i_{jk}(e) = 0 \\ \hat{l}^i_{jk}(e) &= \hat{r}^i_{jk}(e) = \hat{m}^i_{jk}(e) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

and define the *third-order* associators l^i_{jkl}, $\hat{l}^i_{jkl}$, m^i_{jkl}, $\hat{m}^i_{jkl}$, r^i_{jkl}, $\hat{r}^i_{jkl}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} l^i_{jk}(g) &\doteq l^i_{jkl}g^l + O(g^2) \\ \hat{l}^i_{jk}(g) &\doteq \hat{l}^i_{jkl}g^l + O(g^2) \\ m^i_{jk}(g) &\doteq m^i_{jkl}g^l + O(g^2) \\ \hat{m}^i_{jk}(g) &\doteq \hat{m}^i_{jkl}g^l + O(g^2) \\ r^i_{jk}(g) &\doteq r^i_{jkl}g^l + O(g^2) \\ \hat{r}^i_{jk}(g) &\doteq \hat{r}^i_{jkl}g^l + O(g^2) \end{aligned}$$

By calculating the latter one gets

$$l^i_{jkl} = m^i_{klj} = r^i_{ljk} = \hat{l}^i_{jlk} = \hat{r}^i_{lkj} = \hat{m}^i_{kjl} \tag{3.3a}$$

$$= a^i_{js}a^s_{kl} - a^s_{jk}a^i_{sl} + 2\left(d^i_{jkl} - b^i_{jkl}\right) \tag{3.3b}$$

All third-order associators can be obtained from l^i_{jkl} via permutations of the lower indices. By using (3.3a,b) one can prove the *Akivis identity* [1]

$$l^i_{jkl} + r^i_{jkl} + m^i_{jkl} - \hat{l}^i_{jkl} - \hat{r}^i_{jkl} - \hat{m}^i_{jkl} = l^i_{jkl} + l^i_{klj} + l^i_{ljk} - l^i_{jlk} - l^i_{lkj} - l^i_{kjl} \tag{3.4a}$$

$$= c^i_{js}c^s_{kl} + c^i_{ks}c^s_{lj} + c^i_{ls}c^s_{jk} \tag{3.4b}$$

For $x, y, z \in T_e(G)$ define [1] their trilinear product $(x, y, z) \in T_e(G)$ by

$$(x, y, z)^i \doteq l^i_{jkl}x^j y^k z^l, \qquad i = 1\ldots, r$$

Then the Akivis (3.4) identity reads [1]

$$J(x, y, z) = (x, y, z) + (y, z, x) + (z, x, y) - (x, z, y) - (z, y, x) - (y, x, z) \tag{3.5}$$

4 Minimality conditions and generalized Lie equations

Differentiate the Moufang identities (1.1a–c) in local coordinates with respect to g^j at $g = e$. Then, by redenoting the varibles we obtain for the first-order associators the following relations:

$$l^i_j(g, h) = -m^i_j(g, h) \tag{4.1a}$$

$$r^i_j(g, h) = -m^i_j(g, h) \tag{4.1b}$$

$$l^i_j(g, h) = \quad r^i_j(g, h) \tag{4.1c}$$

Thus the Moufang identitities give rise to the constraints

$$l^i_j(g, h) = r^i_j(g, h) = -m^i_j(g, h) \tag{4.2}$$

These constraints generalize in the natural way the differential equations of the Lie group, the Lie equations [8]. If G is associative, i.e a (local) Lie group, then one has

$$l^i_j(g, h) = r^i_j(g, h) = -m^i_j(g, h) = 0 \tag{4.3}$$

By comparing formulae (4.2) and (4.3) one can say that the Moufang loops have the property that their associativity is spoiled in the *minimal* way. The constraints (4.2) are hence called the *first-order minimality conditions.*

Define the new auxiliary functions w^i_j by

$$u^i_j(g) + v^i_j(g) + w^i_j(g) = 0 \tag{4.4}$$

Then constraints (4.1a–c) can be rewritten as the differential equations, called the *generalized Lie equations*

$$w^s_j(g)\frac{\partial(gh)^i}{\partial g^s} + u^s_j(h)\frac{\partial(gh)^i}{\partial h^s} + u^i_j(gh) = 0$$

$$v^s_j(g)\frac{\partial(gh)^i}{\partial g^s} + w^s_j(h)\frac{\partial(gh)^i}{\partial h^s} + v^i_j(gh) = 0$$

$$u^s_j(g)\frac{\partial(gh)^i}{\partial g^s} + v^s_j(h)\frac{\partial(gh)^i}{\partial h^s} + w^i_j(gh) = 0$$

In view of (4.4) these equations are linearly dependent.

5 Generalized Maurer-Cartan equations

Differentiate constraints (4.1a–c) with respect to g^k and h^k at $g=e$ and $h=e$, respectively. Then, redenoting the variables one obtains

$$l^i_{jk}(g) = r^i_{jk}(g) = m^i_{jk}(g) = -m^i_{kj}(g) \tag{5.1}$$

If G is associative (i.e a local Lie group), we have

$$l^i_{jk}(g) = r^i_{jk}(g) = m^i_{jk}(g) = -m^i_{kj}(g) = 0$$

Constraints (5.1) are called the *second-order minimality conditions* for the Moufang loop G. Let us consider the latter more closely.

It follows from the skew-symmetry $l^i_{jk} = -l^i_{kj}$ and $m^i_{jk} = -m^i_{kj}$, respectively, that

$$2\tilde{u}^i_{jk} = u^s_k \frac{\partial u^i_j}{\partial g^s} + u^s_j \frac{\partial u^i_k}{\partial g^s} - \left(a^i_{jk} + a^i_{kj}\right) u^s_j \tag{5.2a}$$

$$2\tilde{v}^i_{jk} = v^s_k \frac{\partial v^i_j}{\partial g^s} + v^s_j \frac{\partial v^i_k}{\partial g^s} - \left(a^i_{jk} + a^i_{kj}\right) v^s_j \tag{5.2b}$$

Note that here we have used the symmetry property (2.1) as well. Express u^i_{jk} and v^i_{jk} from (5.2a) and substitute into (3.2a) and (3.2b), respectively. The result reads

$$u^s_k \frac{\partial u^i_j}{\partial g^s} - u^s_j \frac{\partial u^i_k}{\partial g^s} = c^s_{jk} u^i_s + 2 l^i_{jk}$$

$$v^s_k \frac{\partial v^i_j}{\partial g^s} - v^s_j \frac{\partial v^i_k}{\partial g^s} = c^s_{kj} v^i_s + 2 m^i_{jk}$$

Now using the equalities $l^i_{jk} = r^i_{jk}$, $m^i_{jk} = -r^i_{kj}$ and (3.2c) and (3.2d) for r^i_{jk}, one obtains the differential equations for the auxiliary functions u^i_j and v^i_j:

$$u^s_k \frac{\partial u^i_j}{\partial g^s} - u^s_j \frac{\partial u^i_k}{\partial g^s} = c^s_{jk} u^i_s + 2\left(v^s_j \frac{\partial u^i_k}{\partial g^s} - u^s_k \frac{\partial v^i_j}{\partial g^s}\right) \tag{5.3a}$$

$$v^s_k \frac{\partial v^i_j}{\partial g^s} - v^s_j \frac{\partial v^i_k}{\partial g^s} = c^s_{kj} v^i_s + 2\left(u^s_j \frac{\partial v^i_k}{\partial g^s} - v^s_k \frac{\partial u^i_j}{\partial g^s}\right) \tag{5.3b}$$

called the generalized Maurer-Cartan equations. In a sense, the generalized Maurer-Cartan equations generalize the Maurer-Cartan equations [8] in the minimal way.

Finally, constraints $r^i_{jk} = -r^i_{kj}$ read

$$v^s_j \frac{\partial u^i_k}{\partial g^s} - u^s_k \frac{\partial v^i_j}{\partial g^s} = u^s_j \frac{\partial v^i_k}{\partial g^s} - v^s_k \frac{\partial u^i_j}{\partial g^s} \tag{5.4}$$

The generalized Maurer-Cartan differential equations can be rewritten more concisely. For $x \in T_e(G)$ introduce the *infinitesimal translations*:

$$L_x \doteq x^j u^i_j(g) \frac{\partial}{\partial g^i}, \quad R_y \doteq x^j v^i_j(g) \frac{\partial}{\partial g^i} \quad \in T_g(G)$$

Then the generalized Maurer-Cartan equations (5.3) and differential equations (5.4) can be rewritten, respectively, as the commutation relations

$$[L_x, L_y] = L_{[x,y]} - 2\,[L_x, R_y] \tag{5.5a}$$

$$[R_x, R_y] = R_{[y,x]} - 2\,[R_x, L_y] \tag{5.5b}$$

$$[L_x, R_y] = [R_x, L_y] \tag{5.5c}$$

The Lie bracket $[A, B]$ for the vector fields A and B is defined in the usual way: $[A, B] \doteq AB - BA$. Note that commutation relation (5.5c) can also easily be obtained from the identities

$$[L_x, L_y] = -\,[L_y, L_x]\,, \quad [R_x, R_y] = -\,[R_y, R_x]$$

In the case of associativity of G the (generalized) Maurer-Cartan equations read

$$2\,[L_x, R_y] = L_{[x,y]} - [L_x, L_y] = R_{[y,x]} - [R_x, R_y] = 2\,[R_x, L_y] = 0$$

6 Minimality conditions and Akivis identity

Differentiate (5.1) with respect to g^l $(l = 1, \ldots, n)$ at $g = e$. Then one gets the *third-order minimality conditions*

$$l^i_{jkl} = l^i_{klj} = -l^i_{lkj} = r^i_{jkl} = m^i_{jkl} = -\hat{l}^i_{jkl} = -\hat{r}^i_{jkl} = -\hat{m}^i_{jkl}$$

Thus the associators of the third order of a local analytic Moufang loop have the property of total anti-symmetry with respect of the lower indexes. From this it follows that the Akivis identity (3.5) can be written as follows:

$$J(x, y, z) = 6(x, y, z)$$

Acknowledgement

Research was in part supported by the Estonian Science Foundation, Grant 6912.

References

[1] M. A. Akivis. On local algebras of multi–dimensional three–webs. Sibirski Mat. J. **17** (1976), 5–11 (in Russian).

[2] V. D. Belousov. *Foundations of the Theory of Quasiqroups and Loops.* Nauka, Moscow, 1967 (in Russian).

[3] R. H. Bruck. *A Survey of Binary Systems.* Springer, Berlin–Heidelberg–New York, 1971.

[4] E. N. Kuz'min. On the correspondence between the Mal'tsev algebras and analytic Moufang loops. Algebra i Logika, **10** (1971), 3–22 (in Russian).

[5] A. Mal'tsev. Analytic loops. Matem. Sb. **36** (1955), 569–576 (in Russian).

[6] R. Moufang. Zur Struktur von Alternativkörpern. Math. Ann. **B110** (1935), 416-430.

[7] H. Pflugfelder. *Quasigroups and Loops: Introduction.* Heldermann Verlag, Berlin, 1990.

[8] L. S. Pontryagin. *Topological Groups.* Gordon and Breach, New York, 1966.

[9] A. A. Sagle. Malcev algebras Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **101** (1961), 426–458

Department of Mathematics
Tallinn University of Technology
Ehitajate tee 5, 19086 Tallinn, Estonia
E-mail: eugen.paal@ttu.ee